Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee

The following are summary minutes for the meeting of the Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee for Tuesday, August 13, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. at the City of Las Cruces, City Hall, Room 3138, 700 North Main Street, Las Cruces, New Mexico.

Members Present:
- Harvey Gordon, Member
- Sharon Thomas, Chair
- Mary Ann Hendrickson, Vice Chair
- Luis Armando Guerrero, Member
- Russ Smith, Member
- Heather Watenpaugh, Member
- John Moscato, Member
- George Vescovo, Member
- Todd Stuve, Member

Members Absent:
- James Bennett, Member
- Abraham Sanchez, Member
- La Vonne Muniz, Member
- Christina Ainsworth, Member

Staff Present:
- Larry Nichols, Community Development
- David Weir, Community Development
- Srijana Basnyat, Community Development
- John Castillo, Community Development
- Dominic Loya, Community Development
- Mark Miller, Community Development
- Marie Helwig, Community Development
- Robert Cabello, City Attorney

Others Present:
- Jim Carrillo, Halff Associates
- Christian Lentz, Halff Associates
- Kendall Howard, Halff Associates

I. Call to Order
II. Approval of Minutes
1. May Design Workshop

Halff Associates reviewed the project status and discussed their ongoing tasks. They recapped the design workshop that took place in May and discussed the big ideas. The big ideas that they noted were making downtown vibrant and multi-generational; new development should create great places, streets should be safe, comfortable and interesting for walkers and bikers, there should be increased access to nature and buildings should be sustainable, and a promoting a prosperous, but affordable city.

Jim Carrillo presented sample policies and actions that would help make downtown scenarios a reality. He noted that the policies and actions are the heart of the Comprehensive Plan moving forward and will need the citizen board to tie it all together. Christian Lentz stated that as the place types evolve, more information will be provided. Halff noted that the final document will incorporate images and captions to help visually guide.

CPAC members asked for clarification on the connection between zoning and place types and asked if there would be rezoning conflicts down the road. Halff Associates briefly went over the differences and clarified that the Comprehensive Plan would not invalidate zoning but would guide future development with continual review and updates.

Another CPAC member asked what would happen if the market trends are contrary to what the Comprehensive Plan and place types are showing. Halff Associates expressed that every city needs to provide guidance to steer the ship and this is the process in which the City provides direction. They noted that this plan is long-range but will continually be reviewed and updated.

Halff Associates presented a sample conservation neighborhood shown previously at the design workshop which included clustered homes, green space, preserved arroyos, and potential commercial or retail options centrally located. They noted the importance of creating complete neighborhoods with a sense of community and discussed a handful of policies that would encourage development in line with conservation neighborhoods.

Halff Associates presented street-views of Solano Drive/Idaho Avenue and Telshor Boulevard with potential urban corridor development and suggested policies. They showed the Mesilla Valley Mall as an example of a potential town
center after a phased transition. They noted that these examples all represent
changes that could be viable in the future and noted that the specific policies
and action items would direct people towards these types of changes.

IV. Discussion Items –

1. Future Development Map
   a. Review of Committee and Staff Comments: Jim Carrillo briefly noted that
      comments received from the CPAC prior to the meeting had been included
      in their packets. He stated that there had been some updates made to
      address some of the concerns.

   b. Review of Input from Citizen Survey: Halff Associates noted that they will
      provide a public engagement summary to provide an overview of the survey
      responses.

   c. Place Type Refinements: Halff Associates presented an updated version
      of the Future Development Map and noted the place type changes made
      from the version shown at the May meeting: industrial park/airport at the
      request of City Administration and future plans; outside city limits in the river
      valley changed from Rural Reserve to Rural Neighborhood; Regional
      Commercial on N. Main, E. Lohman and Valley Drive. They pointed out a
      noticeable change in the East Mesa area of the city where the Rural
      Neighborhood and Rural Reserve place types were expanded further to the
      east. They pointed out that the distribution of neighborhood centers was
      another significant change.

      There was discussion as to how the neighborhood center locations were
      identified: existing conditions, future active transportation network, public
      transportation and other existing overlays and plans. Halff Associates noted
      that they had roughly one mile spacing between centers which was
      consistent with the growth potential and development patterns of the city.

   d. Proposed Future Development Map Refinements for Discussion:
      There was a lengthy discussion regarding the East Mesa area and whether
      the Suburban Neighborhood place type should be extended further east.
      Halff Associates expressed concerns with extending the place type further.
      Halff Associates noted that they believed that the projected growth could be
      captured within the shown boundary with a mixture and balance of housing
      types. They reminded the CPAC that the concentration of growth shown in
      the Future Development Map is related to the scenario planning done with
      City Council and that the consensus reached is reflected in the map. Some
CPAC members expressed concerns that this was restricting future development demands. Other CPAC members were concerned that expanding the suburban neighborhood place type in this section would take focus away from infill and downtown development.

There were various opinions of the CPAC members regarding the East Mesa. Jim Carrillo asked each CPAC member to express their opinions on this matter:

- Todd Stuve noted the importance of creating a plan that would give guidance to City officials to encourage different types of development and thought that the only area that should be switched to suburban neighborhood is around Centennial High School.
- George Vescovo recommended shifting each place type slightly towards the east to transition into the open space. He believed that the small section around Centennial High School should be included in the place type as well.
- John Moscato recognized the shortage of affordable housing and asked for greater City support with these projects. He expressed his belief that the future land use map should include suburban growth along the East Mesa extending entirely to the city limits to ensure development is not restricted.
- Heather Watenpaugh stated she agrees with the map as shown to allow for the support of the town and neighborhood centers.
- Russ Smith stated that the suburban neighborhood line should be pushed to City limits, while still advocating for infill development.
- Luis Armando Guerrero stated he would like to see more focus on infill development to allow for more options closer to downtown. He recommended moving the suburban neighborhood place type further east.
- Mary Ann Hendrickson addressed her concerns including the lack of affordable housing, the need for a variety of housing types, climate change and resource conservation. She stated she agrees with the current representation in the future development.
- Sharon Thomas asked to see more incentives and opportunities for infill development and alternative housing options and additional ways to encourage affordable housing. She stated she supports moving the suburban neighborhood line slightly to the east.
- Harvey Gordon said he supports moving the suburban neighborhood line east completely to the City limits to avoid having to change it in the future.

SHORt RECESS - 11:03-11:09

2. Working Plan Actions Review
a. **Purpose and Organization of Action Items**: Halff Associates provided an overview of the remaining portion of the meeting. They noted the importance of the policies and actions which provide guidance for implementation moving forward. They noted the three subcommittees corresponding to the themes of Elevate Las Cruces: prosperity, livability and environment. They noted that these subcommittees would meet the same week to discuss goals, policies, and actions.

b. **Review of Working Action Items (by theme) and comments by CPAC members**

- **Community Prosperity**: the CPAC discussed adding creative partnerships (intergovernmental, private-private, City-private) as well as including the Chamber and MVEDA to Policy 4.2. They discussed focusing on growth and attraction in addition to retention in Policy 4.1. The CPAC discussed considering the entire region in terms of economic prosperity throughout this section.

- **Community Livability**: The CPAC asked for added emphasis on community identity in Goal 12. They noted the need for improved connection to the university and art community in Goal 24. Also noted was the potential to open art studios without business licenses to increase opportunities for the art community. They also expressed the need for cool corridors throughout the entire city, not just particular areas (action 20.4.2). They discussed certain developments, including the Hacienda Acres, where emergency services access needed improvement.

- **Community Environment**: The CPAC recommended providing incentives for alternative energy sources in Goals 38 and 39. They discussed allowing for flexibility regarding upper floor housing in action 34.1.4 since it may not be economically feasible in all situations. Some CPAC members expressed concerns about the economic impact of added regulations on development. Other CPAC members wanted the focus on infill development with the emphasis on the downtown district. The CPAC asked for food deserts to be addressed and added to the policies or goals. The CPAC asked for clarification regarding building orientation towards the street (35.1.6). Halff Associates clarified that the intent of this section is to create a sense of enclosure and to conceal parking areas. The CPAC questioned whether screening of rooftop units is necessary and noted the importance of water resource conservation. They asked for improved connectivity between neighborhoods to be addressed in Goal 33.

V. **Public Comment Period**
No public comments were received.

VI. Next Steps

Halff Associates requested that any additional comments be sent to Staff by Wednesday, August 21st.

VII. Adjournment

Russ Smith moved to adjourn the meeting. George Vescovo seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. The meeting adjourned at 12:09 p.m.