The Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Meeting was held on June 20, 2019 at the Parks and Recreation Conference Room, 1501 E. Hadley, Las Cruces, NM.

PARKS & RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
Robert Harrison
Marilyn Zahler
Stan Engle
Megan Schuller

PARKS & RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
Cassie McClure
Eric Montgomery
Eli Guzman

OTHERS PRESENT:
Hazel Nevarez, Senior Office Manager & Recorder
Sonya Delgado, Director of Parks & Recreation
Robert Nunez, Recreation Services Administrator
Franco Granillo, Park Administrator
Cathy Mathews, Landscape Architect
Marina Montoya, Administrative Assistant
Greg Shervanick, Public
Robert Cruise, Alameda Depot Neighborhood Assoc. (ADNA), President
Jeff Anderson, ADNA
La Maia Vaughn, ADNA, Treasurer
Gordon MacDonald, ZiaVelo Cycling
Kevin Armstrong, Las Cruces Dog Park Coalition
Dan Carter, Southern NM Trail Alliance
Matt Mason, Monumental Loop
Phil Simpson, Southern NM Trail Alliance
George Pearson, ADNA, Velo Cruces
Ron Gagman, ADNA

The meeting was called to order at 6:03 p.m. by Chair Harrison and recognized as having a legal quorum.

1. **Introductions**

Board members introduced themselves and stated the district they represent. Staff introduced themselves and stated their position.
2. Conflict of Interest

There was no conflict of interest.

3. Approval of Agenda

Mr. Engle moved to approve agenda as is, seconded by Ms. Schuller. Motion carried unanimously.

4. Approval of Minutes

Mr. Zahler moved to approve the meeting minutes of May 16, 2019 as presented, seconded by Ms. Schuller. Motion carried unanimously.

5. Public Comment

Philip Simpson, Director of the Southern New Mexico Trail Alliance and on the Las Cruces Sustainability Committee:

Mr. Simpson said one of the issues that has come to the attention of the local biking community is the city plans to do paving of ditches, arroyos, drains and canals that run through the city and there are a lot who feel that those should not be paved; it is fine to bring them up to ADA standards with permeable surfaces. They feel paving takes away from the natural experience one gets from riding bikes over the ditch banks under the shade of the trees from people’s back yards. They feel they should be treated as linear parks. Mr. Simpson said this is his perspective.

Dan Carter, President of Southern New Mexico Trail Alliance:

Mr. Carter added to what Mr. Simpson discussed, to clarify on a document the he and Andrew committed to Parks and Recreation a while back, a tiered approach on how funds should be used to improve some of the trails and ditches, with paving as a last resort being mentioned. He said they are not promoting paving but understand in some situations, this might be the only option but would prefer, especially on ditches, to using other surfaces such as stabilized soil or an aggregate type material that would be ADA compliant. He asked that Parks and Recreation, the City, research these methods. He said Rails to Trails organization has done a lot of research using natural surfaces that are ADA compliant, and to consider those when looking at improving any trail surface. Mr. Carter also left some maps with some areas that through the Trail Summit and other research, have identified some areas to look into for future trails, open space and park development. He said with the change in state government, there are some great opportunities to lease some state land. Mr. Carter said he could be contacted by email.

Matt Mason, Monumental Loop (Mr. Mason said this is not a real organization, is just him):
Mr. Mason said he has been leading bike rides on ditches, from downtown to the monument, on a regular basis every Thursday for the last couple of years. He said he is realizing the ditches are extensions of the monuments that reach into town. The ditches allow people to access something like the monument and actually the monument itself, a direct path of nature, no traffic, through town, that most people don’t know about. He said before moving forward with paving, remember nature - an unbroken green space in town is a rare thing Las Cruces has which sets us apart from other towns that have paved everything. Mr. Mason said a richer experience comes from an unpaved path that people seem to find valuable. Mr. Mason said it is a couple of miles to get to the Dona Anas or to Picacho Peak and can be reached mostly in the dirt. Mr. Mason said most youth in town don’t have access to dirt and if they don’t have access to natural spaces, they are not going to form a relationship with the earth.

Chair Harrison reminded Board members they can put this topic on next meeting’s agenda for further discussion if they wish and asked Ms. Delgado if there are upcoming things related to this that maybe this should be added to agenda. Ms. Delgado said this is part of the Go Bond project and as items come up, they will be brought before the Board.

Ms. Zahler moved to accept comments made and to add lateral trails and surfacing of to the July 18, 2019 Board meeting agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

Robert Cruise, Alameda Depot Neighborhood Assoc. (ADNA), President:

Mr. Cruise said he is one of several present who went through the first two work sessions of Sites Southwest to the third session which is what is in the document being presented at this Board meeting regarding Pioneer Women’s Park. He said they are here mainly to make sure it is known that Pioneer Women’s Park is the first park in the city of Las Cruces, donated by the Women’s Improvement Association (WIA), and they want to make sure the historical aspects of the park are maintained. Mr. Cruise said before this goes to City Council, they want to make sure all input which came from the neighborhood has been taken into consideration.

Wayne Huber, ADNA, Vice President:

Mr. Huber said he has lived in the neighborhood of Pioneer Women’s Park for 18 years and is committed to making the park work. He said there was discussion in the last presentation he saw of Pioneer Women’s Park of rehabbing the existing gazebo. He said the majority of them did not feel the building was appropriate to be rehabbed. He said they are trying to keep the structure looking historic but want a replacement building that reflects architecturally what has been there for the past 150 years.

Lamaia Vaughn, ADNA, Treasurer:

Ms. Vaughn, commented on one of the plans for Pioneer Women’s Park, the component of widening and redesigning of Reymond Street so events could be held in front of the
WIA building. She said the consensus of the neighbors, especially those who live on
Reymond Street, they were troubled by the idea of having fiestas, food trucks, and
generators outside of their bedroom windows, and there would be an impact on their
property values. As a whole neighborhood, they were concerned with how this would
impact the quiet, an attribute honored and loved about the park – it gives a piece of
sanctuary and quiet and green shade, a place where people can get away from many
crowd. If an event venue is made, the very flavor they are trying to enhance in the park
is lost. Ms. Vaugh said it would be redundant and a misuse of city funds and tax funds
since the plaza has been refurbished to have public events and is only two blocks away.

Ms. Vaughn said another essential element of the park are the historical trees. Trees
carry history with their knots, gnarled limbs and five-foot wide trunks, which carry a
message to the next generation who are playing under them and who are visiting the
park from the area schools.

**Jeff Anderson**, community resident:

Mr. Anderson said he has lived in the community for the last 15 years and has been part
of the park for 36 years or more. He said there were three plans submitted by the design
company, taking ideas from the community and putting together what they felt the park
should look like. He said he didn’t think any three of these plans really reflect what the
community wanted. He said he felt the presentation of the plans were poorly executed
for the community and there should have been two more attempts to get public feedback
on what was in the plans. He thinks the plans could be a lot better with more feedback
and plans should be sent back to Sites Southwest and have them incorporate what the
community wants in the park.

**George Pearson**, neighbor of the park for more than 30 years.

Mr. Pearson said he looked at the plan and has some specific comments:

- Page 6, it says: ...with most of the buildings constructed of adobe that feature
  the flat or low shed roof styles of Spanish territorial architecture. – Mr. Pearson
  says this doesn’t describe the Alameda Depot historic district, it describes the
  Mesquite historic district and the wording needs to be changed to reflect their
  neighborhood.
- Page 6, it says ...A small boulangerie and crepe shop is located across the
  street from the southwest corner of the park, ...- Mr. Pearson says this is a
  residence and is not a shop so this is an inaccurate statement.
- Page 6, it says ...for many years known as “The Park”. – Mr. Pearson has a
  reproduction of a 1913 postcard where the caption was City Park and he has
  seen other things where it says City Park and so City Park should be used to
  describe how it was previously known.
- Page 8, it says ...The City Council work session will be held at Las Cruces
  City Hall in the Council Chambers on June 17, 2019. - Mr. Pearson said this
  was a regular City Council meeting and there was no discussion of this plan
  at this meeting. He said this is an inaccurate statement and wonders if this
Board meeting should be referenced, and he understands this will also be
presented to P&Z for them to look at.

- Page 14, FINAL MASTER PLAN – Mr. Pearson remembers discussion about
wi-fi or security cameras as being an aspect of park design and there was no
mention of that.
- Page 21, it says ...The Opinion of Probable Cost below is divided into the
Phase 1 through 4. – Mr. Pearson said there are only three phases, so this
clearly is a typo.

Back to the Reymond Street discussion, Mr. Pearson said this plan was presented
differently than he’s seen other plans presented. This plan showed the public process
with the first three conceptual plans and the final recommended plan at the end. He said
other plans he’s seen; the final plan is presented, and public process is described as an
appendix. Mr. Pearson said this is causing confusion with the neighborhood with what
is actually intended for the final plan. He said it would be good there be a clear statement
the final plan does not include the Reymond Street that was part of one of the concepts.

Kevin Armstrong, President of Las Cruces Dog Park Coalition:

Mr. Armstrong said the Las Cruces Dog Park Coalition was asked to express a
preference for one of the two sites, Burn Lake or Legends West, for a Dog Park. Mr.
Armstrong said he sent out a letter, and there was not a whole lot of response. He said
it was equally divided between people who were indifferent, or they just didn’t feel
comfortable expressing an opinion. He said there is a three or four more people, like 12
to 8, for Burn Lake. Mr. Armstrong says he agrees with the people preferring Burn Lake,
more room with less crowding, more isolated, more pleasant, and could help add to the
soccer draw. He said his personal preference would be Burn Lake.

Jeff Anderson, ADNA:

Mr. Anderson mentioned Site Southwest design does not include a final design for the
gazebo, it is only the landscape.

6. Action Items

6.1 Parks and Recreation Master Plan (Old Business) – Halff Assoc. - Mr.
Martin Laws, AICP and Ms. Jill Amezgua, PLA, ASLA – by conference call

Before beginning the Parks and Recreation Master Plan presentation, Mr. Laws asked
the Board to write down the slide number and question, and questions would be
addressed at the end of the presentation.

Mr. Laws thanked Ms. Sonya Delgado for assisting with the PRMP document.

A PowerPoint presentation summarizing the final document of the PRMP was presented
to the Board by Mr. Laws and Ms. Amezgua of Halff Associates, giving them an overview
of the final three chapters (3-5) of the PRMP, as Chapters 1 and 2 had been presented
to the Board at previous Board meetings. A copy of the PowerPoint presentation was
provided to the Board.

Ms. Delgado thanked Halff Associates and City staff for all the work put into the PRMP.

Ms. Zahler concurred with Ms. Delgado.

Ms. Schuller asked what the timeline is to come back and look at improvements prior to
desired years. Ms. Delgado said with PEAK Performance tied to the Parks and Recreation
budget, all goals will be visited every year and the PRMP will be used as a tool to help
with PEAK goals and tying it to the budget, not only to the regular operational budget but
also to the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) budget.

Ms. Zahler said the one thing she did not see was a budget. Ms. Delgado said the PRMP
is just a plan as to where Parks and Recreation is headed. In some of the instances
where the goals are done and budget is talked about, those things will come up every
year through the budget cycle and the CIP. Ms. Delgado said Parks and Recreation also
has to find another funding source, grants or other organizations to partner with us.

Ms. Zahler asked if the Board could go out and solicit partnerships. Ms. Delgado said
this is one of Parks and Recreation next steps.

Mr. Engle said one of the recommendations was divorcing Parks and Recreation from
Rights of Way (ROW), would this be good as freeing staff would cause budget to shrink.
Ms. Delgado said there isn’t a lot of staff to do ROW, so Parks would be ok if they didn’t
have ROW. Mr. Granillo said Parks would benefit from having staff freed to put in other
areas. Mr. Engle asked what department would take this over. Mr. Granillo said it would
go back to Streets or Traffic.

Ms. Zahler said regarding trails, it mentioned how far trails are, but it didn’t mention if
they are easy, medium, or difficult. Ms. Delgado said there is an active transportation
plan that outlines this more specifically and the plan refers to it.

Mr. Engle asked if this would also include what type of surface trails are. Ms. Delgado
said an extensive wayfinding will be part of the process.

Chair Harrison noted signage was scored low.

Ms. Zahler asked if service dogs or pets are brought to trails, would there be wastes
bags. Ms. Delgado said yes.

Chair Harrison asked with the 97 recommendations, how would they be prioritized. Ms.
Delgado said this looked at every year, as go through the Go Bond and through PEAK
Performance.
Mr. Engle moved to recommend Chapters One through Five as written for the Parks and Recreation Master Plan, seconded by Ms. Zahler. Motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Zahler asked when the Parks and Recreation Master Plan would go before City Council. Ms. Delgado responded July 1, 2019.

In questioning with the PRMP, Chair Harrison asked if Pioneer Park was going to be seen as a Civic Park, as an extension with the Plaza. Ms. Delgado said when talking about a civic space, a civic park, it is in area very much like a plaza where a community event would be held, not just an event for a neighborhood. Mr. Huber emphasized Pioneer Women’s Park is the correct name for the park.

6.2 Pioneer Women’s Park Conceptual Master Plan – Cathy Mathews

Ms. Cathy Mathews informed the Board the neighbors of Pioneer Women’s Park sought and were granted $33,000 by the State Legislature for the master planning process with the idea to create a master plan that would reflect the desires and wishes of all the neighbors.

Ms. Mathews presented to the Board a PowerPoint presentation of the Pioneer Women’s Park Conceptual Master Plan, reviewing three different concepts and how the final concept was decided upon. A copy of the PowerPoint was also provided to the Board.

Ms. Mathews said she appreciated hearing the comments brought up during public comment and wanted to address some of the particular concerns brought forward and to be able to address some of those concerns as needed. She said the overall plan and concept from the neighbors was to keep the historic character, to keep the general layout, to keep it as a neighborhood park, keeping the trees, keeping it as a lush oasis, and keeping the connection to the historical WIA building where the idea of the concept of the park came. The plan does propose two different options for the gazebo, one a rehab and one not a rehab, and final decision what could occur as funding becomes available. The gazebo is distinctly and firmly kept as part of the over-all plan. The event street has been eliminated from the plan. Many of the existing trees are being kept in place and augmented with additional trees. The concept is to bring in more trees as well. There are some existing (mulberry) trees that have become hazardous, and from the final meeting, the consensus opinion was decided to remove the trees to eliminate the hazard with the acknowledgement and the commitment to replace the trees. Ms. Mathews addressed Mr. Pearson’s careful review of the plan and its inaccuracies and typos and said they would be addressed and corrected. She said she has also noted the order of the plan can be changed so the final plan is more apparent.

Mr. Engle thanked Ms. Mathews for the presentation. He asked if she could point out where in the plan it discusses the two gazebo options, as he could only find where it mentions the gazebo in phase 1. Ms. Mathews said phase 1 is the rehab cost but there should be in the initial probable cost, a replacement cost, as this was the direction given to the consultant. She said if it’s not there, she will make sure it is included.
Mr. Engle asked if she knew the differential cost. Ms. Mathews said the replacement cost would be three times the rehab cost. She did note to the Board this is a master plan and not a design plan. She said as design and construction funds become available, additional public input will be conducted at that time to make the design decisions about specific materials, specific sizes, specific styles. Right now, the gazebo is to remain the central focus and to include the historic features.

Ms. Schuller thanked Cathy and addressed the public saying it appears Ms. Mathews addressed the comments brought forward and is on point with the items brought to the Board’s attention – gazebo options, the widening of Reymond Street being taken out, and inaccurate information and reorganization of the master plan. Ms. Schuller thanked the public for bringing their concerns forward.

Ms. Mathews informed the Board she appreciates the opportunity to address concerns because the idea of having misconceptions or ideas where folks feel their input has not been addressed is something she wants to talk about right away because this is what the whole process has been about.

Ms. Zahler directed a question to Ms. Vaughn regarding the trees, if she included the mulberry trees. Ms. Vaughn said the mulberry trees are the historic trees. Ms. Zahler asked Ms. Mathews what problems they cause at the park. Ms. Mathews said the trees have been identified by a Certified Arborist and the Community Forester as being hazardous to the public. They are experiencing rapid decline, disease, and weakness in their limbs being hazardous to people occupying the space. Among the hazards could be dropping limbs, the entire tree dropping. A lot have outgrown their space. They are growing over the curb that is adjacent to them. In some instances, the soil volume available for those trees is immediately adjacent to the street and forces the roots – the roots grow where water and oxygen are available, if not available the roots do not grow in those instances and could cause them to become unstable. Ms. Mathews said removal of the trees is under the recommendation of a Certified Arborist and Community Forester. Ms. Zahler said once the trees are removed, they die. She said she has a problem with employees who have set mind sets, tunnel vision. She is going to recommend a PhD who works at the University. Ms. Zahler said she read an article by a Russian author regarding a study of trees, and they are finding that trees are living things, they cry, and communicate with each other. Mr. Granillo said the trees have been accessed by an arborist and the Community Forester and are considered hazardous because they are basically saw dust and ready to fall. The City is on the hook for any liability that can happen. Ms. Vaughn said she was one of the people to talk to Ms. Delgado because 95% of the trees were marked with white X’s and no notice was given to the neighbors. This is when she called the Mayor, City Manager and Ms. Delgado. She told Ms. Delgado the neighborhood and the people who love those trees should be involved in deciding their future. She said it’s one thing to make the park safe, which she totally agree, but she thinks there’s a philosophy in our modern culture that trees should look a certain way, they should be young and healthy and beautiful and who defines what beauty is and how do we have any history if all the old marred objects have
been cleaned up that talk to us about the past. She said she is really worried about modernizing, cleaning up, and making it more practical for the future generations but in the process losing the very treasures that we have.

Ms. Schuller asked Ms. Mathews if it would be possible to have the two sources provide research and documentation and references on their findings to help support the decision to remove the trees. She said the research to back up those findings, it would sit easier with the residents of the area why the trees would need to come down.

Ms. Vaughn said they were also encouraging the city to move forward with the tree ordinance so there would be a standard by which the removal of a tree is approached and there would be a standard by which it was decided whether a tree was dying and beyond the point of living. Ms. Vaughn said her point is the other thing about having enough trees in the park is the oxygen footprint, how much air are they cleaning.

Ms. Delgado said Parks and Recreation does have a tree policy and the next step is working towards a tree ordinance so the city can become a Tree City USA. Currently, over 300 trees are planted a year in Las Cruces. She said trees are important and yes, the city does have some older trees that are part of our community and part of our history, but the City also has a responsibility and balancing that out is tricky. She said staff will continue to move forward and make the best decisions possible with the information at hand and staff does talk with a lot of individuals in the community.

Chair Harrison said one of the things he was hoping for is that the members of the neighborhood community of Pioneer Women’s Park feel satisfied that at least they are heard. He was interested to find out what the plan was for the original grant of $33,000. Ms. Mathews said the money was used to pay the consultant to perform this work, to conduct the three workshops, to develop the concepts, and the final concept report. Chair Harrison asked if the neighborhood association did this or the City. Ms. Mathews said the state legislature responds to their constituents and took their advice and desire to have a master plan and appropriated the funding. She said the funding comes to the city and the city conducts the purpose as directed by the grant. Chair Harrison asked if there was an estimated completion time. Ms. Mathews said this is a master plan to guide future decisions and future plans in terms of identifying funding for specific portions of all of this work. She said there is no specific dollars identified for this project at this time. Ms. Delgado added that the city has several parks in our community that have gone through a master plan process, and the master plan, if approved by Council, serves as a guide even after current staff is gone. The neighborhood would not have to go through the master plan process again unless the majority of the neighborhood wanted something completely different. If not, the master plan would stay on the same path as funding becomes available.

Ms. Schuller moved to approve the master plan with grammatical corrections to go to City Council, seconded by Ms. Zahler. Mr. Engle asked if it would be possible to fix the master plan before sending forward. Ms. Delgado said the item could be tabled or
passed. Ms. Schuller said she had full confidence staff would address changes that needed to be made. Ms. Zahler withdrew her second. Motion died.

Mr. Engle moved to table the Pioneer Women's Park Conceptual Master Plan until August 15, 2019 to allow the designer to make the necessary corrections to the document, seconded by Ms. Schuller. Motion carried unanimously.

6.3 Dog Park Site Selection

Ms. Delgado informed the Board the Dog Park site selection is coming before the Board because in the current master plan, District 4 is called out to have the next dog park. The two areas in the District 4 are Burn Lake and Legends West. She said one of these sites needs to be given to the Project Manager for the Go Bond so they can put it in the request for proposal (RFP) so it is known to the bidders exactly where the dog park will be.

Ms. Mathews said the current master plan suggests the dog park be located on west portion of the south portion of the city. She said taking this into consideration, the two identified spots are Legends West Park and Burn Lake. Ms. Mathews presented to the Board a review of where in the parks the dog park site would be located. She said the opinions received for these locations are practically even and seem to be leaning towards Burn Lake.

Ms. Zahler asked if anything has been heard about a dog park being built by the Animal Shelter. Ms. Mathews said there is a proposal that is part of the animal shelter expansion which is part of the Go Bond. Ms. Zahler asked if this would only be for dogs of the shelter. Ms. Mathews said the park would be for dogs from the shelter and from the public. Ms. Zahler said this is a concern she has since the shelter dogs may have some diseases. Ms. Mathews said her understanding is the manager of the shelter is taking this under consideration and will be responsible for the policies of which shelter dogs would be allowed to access the dog park.

There was discussion among the Board on the two locations – Burn Lake's connectivity to the trails, no parking at Legends West, which location would support more people immediately, current activity already in the locations, which location would have a quicker turnaround for a dog park.

Ms. Schuller moved to propose Burn Lake as the next dog park site, seconded by Ms. Zahler. Ms. Zahler, Chair Harrison, Ms. Schuller voted for Burn Lake. Mr. Engle voted against. Majority vote for Burn Lake carried.

6.4 NRPA Conference / Baltimore, MD September 24-26, 2019

Ms. Delgado informed the Board the National Recreation and Park Association National Conference was being held in Baltimore, MD September 24 -26, 2019. She said last year, the Board Chair attended the conference with staff, and she is bringing
to the Board to see if there was an interest to attend. Ms. Zahler suggested that if
anyone from the Board attend, that they remain on the Board for that year.

Ms. Zahler moved to table the NRPA Conference until the July 18, 2019 Board
meeting, seconded by Ms. Schuller. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Discussion Items

There were no discussion items.

8. Staff Member Comments

Mr. Granillo informed the Board Young Park pond was ready to be stocked with fish mid-
May but there was vandalism that took place over Memorial weekend. The drain is being
repaired.

Mr. Granillo said there were some ADA issues at Butterfield Shooting Range. The range
is currently accessible, but some dirt piles need to be moved to help with wheelchair
access.

Mr. Granillo said the Clean Sweep, which is the maintenance on alleyways, right of ways,
medians, and interchanges is going very well. He said staff is looking at using different
chemicals for weeds – one chemical that was purchased is not working very well and he
will keep Ms. Delgado and the Board up to date on what chemical will decided upon.

Mr. Granillo said staff will be supporting the 4th of July event as well as the 4th of July
baseball tournament taking play that weekend.

Mr. Granillo said ongoing maintenance is going very well. Fall projects are being
developed. Over 330 trees have been planted this year – any trees that have been
removed was due to decline and decay. Work continues the Integrated Pest
Management Policy.

Mr. Catanach informed the Board the 4th of July event is coming up, beginning with the
4th of July Parade on July 3, 9:00 p.m., from Apodaca Park, south down Solano to
Hadley. He said the parade will be preceded by the Electric 5K Fun Run. Gates open at
Pat and Lou Sisbarro Park July 4 at 4:00 p.m. Concert starts at 6 p.m., fireworks at 9:45
p.m. The Board was provided with 4th of July t-shirts.

Mr. Catanach said swim lessons open in July. Music in the Park and Movies in the Park
have begun with Young Park being packed.

Mr. Nunez informed the Board Youth Programs us in full swing for the summer with over
400 youth, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. Mr. Nunez said staff has partnered with other City
departments and local community - Friends of Organ Mountain will be taking youth out
on hikes, Guzman Sports Karate has visited program sites giving demonstrations,
Cruces Creations has teens doing activities. Las Cruces Police Department and Fire Department has visited program sites. Programs couldn't be done without the help of Las Cruces Public Schools who have provided three school site locations with over 185 youth. Roadrunner Transit provides teens with transportation. La Pinon and Families and Youth, Inc. have also done some great things for the Youth programs.

Mr. Nunez said Keep Las Cruces Beautiful Program recently had a Team Up to Clean Up at District 4 with a small turnout. This is something being done once a month in every district with the next one set for July in District 1.

Mr. Nunez said the Youth Board didn't get very many applicants. He has reached out to the current Youth Board members who are interested in completing a second year, and Mr. Nunez will bring back to the Board in July a proposal to re-advertise in early Fall when school is back in session. Ms. Zahler suggested putting an article and pictures of the Youth Board in the Bulletin. Mr. Nunez said the Little Libraries have been received and would be used as a promotional marketing this summer and as the school year starts.

Ms. Mathews informed the Board the Youth Conservation Corp. summer crew member project is currently underway with 16 youth on board. She said their first task is to go through the Qualified Water Efficient Landscape (QWEL) training, and then implement their training to conduct irrigation system audits to help determine the efficiency of our irrigation systems of our Hadley sports fields. She said this will help guide future investments in those irrigation systems to improve efficiency.

Ms. Delgado informed the Board they will be kept busy in the next couple of months – league agreements will be coming forward, park ordinances will be worked on, dog policies, a comprehensive Integrated Pest Management policy will be coming before the Board, Go Bond projects are underway (East Mesa Sports Complex, Unidad Park), sports courts in other parks, and trails. J

Ms. Delgado said information on Team Up to Clean Up will be provided to the Board and asked for their assistance in blasting information to the people in their district.

9. Board Member Comments

Ms. Zahler said she has a lot of concerns about the Animal Shelter and asked if it under Parks and Recreation. She said she doesn't want to see city people going there and wants to know where to go on this. Ms. Delgado said she will pass this comment to the Go Bond group and will have them contact Ms. Zahler. Ms. Zahler said she doesn't want her name mentioned because she and Director of the animal shelter dislike each other, he has made negative comments towards her and she doesn't want to deal with him.

Mr. Engle commented he can't believe he has been on the Board for a year.
Chair Harrison remembered Mr. Camunez at this time with 4th of July coming up and asked Mr. Catanach to make Mr. Camunez happy.

10. Adjournment

Ms. Zahler moved to adjourn meeting, seconded by Ms. Schuller. Motion carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Hazel Nevarez, Recording Secretary

Robert Harrison, Chair